

CHALLENGES OF THE CURRENT AID ARCHITECTURE: ADDRESSING THE DEVELOPMENT NEEDS OF AFRICA – By Vitalice Meja, AFRODAD

African countries like many other developing countries need external resources primarily to supplement their meagre domestic resources from their economies. The assistance countries receive redress the financial gap that arises from their development needs and act as catalyst and play a complimentary role in the implementation of the national development programs as well as strategies

Between 1970 and 2002 countries in the south of the Sahara received a total of \$294 billion in loans. Yet Africa continues to register the slowest growth in per capita income than any continent. Aid to Africa has not guaranteed rapid growth and has not contributed sufficiently to the reduction of poverty or creation of sustainable conditions for economic development. Aid in some cases has even to a greater extent contributed to lower growth by encouraging greater corruption, and weakening government internal mechanisms for aid management, and promoting debt servicing at the expense of the provision of social services. And yet in other countries, policy frameworks, public finance management and procurement systems are generally weak to promote economic growth and poverty reduction. Other problems that are also closely linked to the ineffectiveness is the incompetent planning of the donor nations aid programs, their aid disbursement framework and procedural requirement to aid management when dealing with developing countries. This has contributed to aid being allocated to wrong priorities.

There is a growing awareness among governments around the world that their own actions and behaviour are just as important for the effectiveness of aid in developing world. Indeed, the inclusion of such phrases as “mutual responsibility”, “partnerships” and “dialogue” as part of the current aid debate is a clear recognition of past mistakes. There is an increasing movement by donors towards systematic support for recipient-owned plans for the attainment of development results increased use of national administration systems; and more coordinated and predictable actions among the multiple aid actors. Donors signed a commitment to improve the harmonization of aid in Rome in 2003 and, more recently, the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness. It set out five basic principles for donors concerning ownership, alignment, harmonization, management and accountability, together with a set of 12 indicators which could be used to judge whether or not fragmentation was diminishing and coordination improving.

On leadership countries in the continent are in various stages of developing sector wide approaches (SWaps) with a view of seeking to streamline their national priorities across sectors, and putting together ministries that work in the same areas. However, some donors are not ready to use SWaps. They support particular units in the sectors (project support) instead of putting resource in the overall sector basket for wider sector use. In the same vain, their is lack of confidence in the government systems in aid disbursement thus undermining reform efforts, as they are not being tested despite years of reform. Governments in Africa need to be more proactive in their engagement with the donors. They need to demand from donors to coordinate, align and harmonize there efforts towards national priorities. In the same framework they need to strengthen their leadership efforts by equipping departments responsible with personnel and systems necessary to facilitate the process.

Delivery of Aid mechanisms are not fully harmonized. Different external resource delivery mechanisms are used in Africa by donors. They range from direct budget support and sector support to project support and technical support in a country. While most governments prefer direct budgetary support, donors continue to disburse their assistance using a combination of modes including the use of inflexible project cycles, technical assistance and monitoring and evaluation systems as way of managing their aid. This in essence continues to add transaction costs to the ministries concerned as they continue to contend with numerous field visits and

caate usmrocesseeTjm

Condi30 Tation25Td(dysteArched ctured as)hip efforts e

government and donors as opposed to current conditionality frameworks dictated upon by donors.

Need for donor reform in the aid management. In order to facilitate effective operations in the efforts of aid harmonisation, there needs to be considerable delegation at the country office. DFID and Sweden have made considerable strides in this regard. There appears to be a high level of responsibility given to the DFID and SIDA in the country regarding decision making on issues of aid management at the country level. The two country offices have a ceiling on the amount of funding they can spend without seeking approval from the

aid management, and normalising aid relations with bilateral donors. Donor agencies should adopt special procedures to assist operational departments in financing and implementing programmes in Liberia. Of paramount importance would be the immediate cancellation of all the arrears owed to the bank and the fund.

Non State actors are not included in the improvement of aid architecture at national level. Governments across Africa as well as donors recognize the role of non state actors in aid effectiveness particularly in the area of advocacy and monitoring progress and impact of aid. CSOs at the same time have a direct involvement in development and mobilising resources for poverty reduction, it is thus imperative that their role is clearly spelt out and institutionalised at the national level. However, Non state actors participation in influencing the nature of partnership with the government and with its development partners are non existent thus have little access to shaping the aid the aid architecture at the national level. Couple with this is the secrecy with which bilateral negotiations are done on development financing between the governments involved. Non state actors only become privy to the process at the signing stages of the financing agreement. Information flow is sketchy and at worst non existent.

The lack of a structured engagement as well as lack of access to information on external financing has inhibited the ability of key institutions such as the parliaments and CSOs on demanding transparency and accountability from governments across the continent. The governments thus need to provide a better level of engagement and facilitation to civil society in policy and legislation dialogue/formulation if aid is to be more effective.

In conclusion aid architecture must address political interests of both donors and recipient as well. Aid would only work with good public institutions and if policies are nationally-owned. Other important factors include the need to address weak public finance management systems, respect public systems by donors, and the development of Partnership principles are mutually agreed. Lastly engagement with non-state actors and parliaments must be meaningful if Africa is to make head way in improving aid architecture in the continent.