

STATEMENT BY MR SCOTT TAN,
DELEGATE TO THE 78 TH SESSION OF THE UNITED NATIONS
GENERAL ASSEMBLY, ON AGENDA ITEM 79, ON THE REPORT OF
THE INTERNATIONAL LAW COMMISSION ON THE WORK OF ITS
SEVENTY-THIRD AND SEVENTY-FOURTH SESSIONS
(CLUSTER II: CHAPTERS V AND VI OF A/78/10),
SIXTH COMMITTEE,
31 OCTOBER 2023

Mr Chair,

- 1 Thank you for giving me the floor.
- The topic *Settlement of disputes to which international organizations are parties *, which is dealt within Chapter V, is a topic of considerable relevance and significancled delegation congratulates the Commission on provisionally adopting dratuidelines 1 and 2 and adopting the accompanying commentaries and we thank the Special Rapporteur, Mr. August Reinisch, for his contributions. An increasing level of Statestate interaction takes place today in the context of an international organization. The activities of many international organizations have, in tubrecome more diverse and complex, and disputes involving such organizations re increasinglycommon We reiterate

- Singapore has two comments doraft Guideline2. First, in respect of WKH GHILQLWLRQ RIDQ 3 L Qraft 15 Local 10 QL, RwQ 10 Qc that UJDQL the Commission had previously adopted a definition of an international organization in its 2011 draft articles. We agree with the 2011 definition and do not think that any XSGDWH WR WKLV GHILQLWLRQ LV QHFHVVDU\, Q Finternational organization is that it is an entity possessing its own international legal personality and is established by a treaty or other instrument governed by international law. The fact that an international organization must have at least one organ capable of expressing a will distinct from that of its members is simply an elaboration of its separate international legal personal and not a distinct feature Further, the possibility of including other entities part from States in its memberships a useful clarification to have, but is not a definitional feature in itself.
- Second WKH GHILQLWLR @taffR@fuideline@(b)\psp\dde/sh LQ WKDW DGLVSXWHLV 3DGLVDJUHHPHQW FRQFHUQL RU DVVHUWLRQ LV PHW ZLWK UHIXVDO RU GHQL interpretation that a disagreement of fact could, by itself, give rise topustedisThis is misleading. As the Commission has pointed out in parag(aph