

76th Session of the General Assembly Sixth Committee

Agendaitem & Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its sevents/econd session

ClusterIII tChps VII (Succession of States in respect of State responsibility) and (General principles of law)

Statement by

AmbassadoHelmut Tichy

Legal Alviserof the Mintry of European and Interment Affairs

New York2November202

Chairperson,

Allow me to address the topic

As already mentioned in our 2019 statement, we would have no probleth such wording when understood as permittistigates to ask for reparation which the injuring states may grantex gratia, or not. However, we are concerned that such wording is likely to be understood as a rule of automatic succession into the responsibility of the predecessos tate by a successos tate. In our view, such a rule does not have a legal basis in international law and should not form part of lex ferenda either.

Where draft articles 16 to 19 restate the general rule thatstate which continues to exist after state succession will remain responsible for its unlawful acts and thus have to afford reparatio Austria does not seany problems. However, we wonder to what extent it is necessary to restate this general rule of state responsibility that is already covered by threic on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts this regard, we concur with the views expressed by some members of the Commission as stated in paragraph 142 of the Commission's report.

Let me reiterate that Austriaconsiders matters concerning succession relating to state responsibility, or more specifically the legal consequences stemming from internationally wrongful acts, to be fundamentally different from issues concerning accession to treaties, assets and debts. In the latter field, customary international law differentiates between types of treaties, assets and debts and provides for different succession rules. We do not think that any rule asserting an automatic transfer rights and obligations to succession the predecessor tate does not continue to exist can be identified las lata, nor would we consider it a good candidate for progressive deri TJ ET Q q 0.000008060

instead of "community of nations" since the term "nation" has different meanings. As we can read in the prestigious Max Planck Encyclopedia International Law, "the notion of nation is decidedly unclear, disputed, and politically sensitive."

Usage of the terrimology "international community" would have the further advantage of including other subjects of international law, such as intermalti organisations, that may also develop legal systemsimilar to national legal systems that apply internally and sometimes even to the member states and their citizens Austria also shares and supports the position not to exclude the legal practice of international organisations as acknowledged immentary 5 to draft conclusion 2Hence,