



SIXTH COMMITTEE

CHECK AGAINST DELIVERY

Statement by

Ms. Meital Nir-Tal

Legal Department
Israel Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its seventy-
second session

Agenda Item 82

29 October 2021

United Nations, New York

in this field -- as acknowledged by the study group itself -- it is doubtful whether any

Furthermore, concerning the proposed Draft Article 10, paragraph 2, Israel rejects the requirement according to which invocation of immunity can only be done in written form. Such requirement does not necessarily reflect international practice in this regard as the assertion of immunity may be conveyed to the forum state orally as well.

Madame Chair,

Referring to the previously proposed paragraph 6 of Draft Article 10 concerning the examination *proprio motu* of the question of immunity, which was not included in the proposed Draft Article and is expected to be addressed at a later stage, Israel believes that Draft Article 10 should not distinguish between immunity *ratione personae* and immunity *ratione materiae* with regard to the requirements for their invocation. Therefore -- like some Commission members -- Israel maintains that when immunity *ratione personae* or immunity *ratione materiae* is not invoked by the State of the

Furthermore, issues of immunity mmoETQ.000008871 0 52 841.2 reW*nBF3 12 Tf1 0 0 1 22.89760.810

